



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.iispic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,107	03/26/2001	Dagobert Michel De Leeuw	NL000149	3801
75	590 02/13/2003			
U.S. Philips Corporation			EXAMINER	
580 White Plair Tarrytown, NY	- <del>-</del>	·	ROSE, KIESHA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2822		
•			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Andrew O		09/817,107	DE LEEUW ET AL.
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kiesha L. Rose	2822
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoper of the property period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period representation of the property will, by statution to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution the property of th	136(a). In no event, however, may a poly within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	November 2002 .	
2a) <u></u> □		his action is non-final.	
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/con Papers	or election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		o Eveminer
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)⊠ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on 20 No	ovember 2002 is: a\⊠ appi	roved h) disapproved by the Eversians
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	olv to this Office action	disapproved by the Examiner.
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a) (d) or (f)
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 65 6.5.5. g	119(a)-(d) 01 (1).
	1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		plication No.
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
	application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	_
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) 15)∐ Ad	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has bee	en received.
Attachment(			
2)  Notice 3)  Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.	04.04	ti n Summary	Part of Paper No. 12

Application/Control Number: 09/817,107

Art Unit: 2822

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 20 November 2002.

#### **Drawings**

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 20 November 2002 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-11 disclose a memory element that has a non-programmed and programmed state, a first and second electrode interconnected in the non-programmed state, a bridge partly interrupted in the programmed state and a conductor track. A programmed and non-programmed state does not give definition of structure itself and needs to be fixed more of the structure state. It is unclear what the memory element is or whether the memory element is the conductor track or if it includes the conductor track and/or the bridge. The drawings include the memory element to have a spiraling or meandering shape but in the drawings it shows the conductor track has the spiraling or meandering shape. Also it is unclear whether the



Art Unit: 2822

conductor track and bridge are the same thing. The claims disclose the bridge as a conductor track but the drawings show the conductor track and bridge as different elements.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-11, as far as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang et al. (U.S. Patent 6,59,842).

Chang discloses integrated circuit (Fig. 2) that contains a laminate substrate (10) comprising of a porous layer (18) and a covering layer (12) with a metal layer (14) formed thereon.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 20 November 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's response to the office action in regards to the 112 rejection did not answer all of the questions posed. In regards to the programmed

Application/Control Number: 09/817,107

Art Unit: 2822

state the applicant just added a limitation to show how the organic material is programmed or non-programmed but it is unclear how the bridge will be interrupted in a programmed state if the organic material is just heated how does a metal layer get interrupted. In addition there was a questioned posed on whether or not the bridge and conductor track where two different things of the same thing and the response states that they are separate but then states that the bridge is to function as the conductor track. Finally there was a question on what exactly the memory element was or comprised of and some of those questions were not even answered. Therefore a response back touching on all of the questions would be greatly appreciated.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 703-605-4212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 1st Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,107

Art Unit: 2822

Page 5

KLR

February 6, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800